

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|
| 09/407,32 | 7 09/28/ | 99 LOWELL | | G | 406462000102 |
| - HM12/0809 | | | | EXAMINER | |
| KAREN B DOW | | | | GRASER, J | |
| | % FOERSTER | LLP | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | EY CENTER : CA 92130-: | DRIVE SUITE 500 2332 | | 1645 | 14 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: | 08/09/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/407,327

Applicant(s)

Lowell

Examiner

Graser, Jennifer

Art Unit

1645



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>Jul 16, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | |
| THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Jul 16, 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. | | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) K they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (c) 🕱 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: The phrase "or glycolipid" is a new limitation which raises new issues requiring further search and | | | | | |
| consideration. The use of glycolipids-proteosomes complexes was not previously searched. Glycolipids are recty different from LPS+ can be a variety of proteins/pepindes with hydrophobic archors. 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 7. X The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | |
| 8. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to:none | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-16 | | | | | |
| 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | | |
| 10.□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 11. Other It is noted that a copies of the 1.132 Katz Declarations filed in the parent application 08/677,302 have never been received in this application. GRASER, JENNIFER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1645 | | | | | |